COMMENTS:

Overall:

* There are too many document revision history entries. Only working versions of the document should be entered.
* The formatting makes it a little hard to differentiate between individual requirements. If the sub parts of each requirement (ie x.x.1-x.x.5) were indented or smaller text it would improve flow and readability of the document.

Sections 1-3 (Beaver)

* 2.2 What about changing frequencies? I’ve been paintballing and many sites have parties with 10-15 paintball courses. And they just rotate you through the different courses for the whole day. I think changing frequencies would be something you want the user to be able to set.
* 3.1.4 – What about FCC requirements; is that an issue? For example you can transmit radio on 92.5 but if you transmit more than 100 ft. you now have a pirate radio signal.

Sections 4-6 (Bandit)

* 5.2.4 – Human reaction time not really a standard, can very broadly.
* 6.2.1 – No obvious reason to specify ‘green’ light

Sections 7-9 (Penny)

8.1.1 – states the system will be aiming at human target.. needs to be changed to something else like deer.

8.2.1 – cost effective with a price of less than 25 dollars. Is that your requirement or the sellers requirement. The system should not have a sell requirement such as this. You will be using much more than 25 $ for R/D alone. This should be changed accordingly.

8.3 – why is this a requirement?

8.4 – why does it have to be in metric units? Why not standard?

9 – Should also include that the sponsor will accept the product if criteria is met. Also as this is acceptance criteria for the sponsor you are referencing a client more in the verification procedure. You should only include the client/sponsor requirements in the acceptance criteria because they did not ask for the rest. Only include what the client specifically requested and how you will verify those requests made. No more no less.

Sections 10-12 (Dawg)